

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,487 | 03/26/2004 | Ming-Kun Cheng | P05028 | 8318 |
| 40401 | 40401 7590 07/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES | | | OJINI, EZIAMARA ANTHONY | |
| 1725 I STREET NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 3723 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/809,487 | CHENG, MING-KUN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Anthony Ojini | 3723 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2004. | | | | | |
| , | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | i3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| oj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | oloodon roquiromona | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| The ball of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John 1 10-102. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | - | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/809,487

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: reference character "62" has been used to designate both handle and head on page 1, lines12, 18

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses a head (66) of a power ratchet tool (60) having a handle (62) and a ratchet mechanism (64) with a stub (642), the head comprising: a head portion (66) for receiving the ratchet mechanism and having a front end; a rear end; a top; a bottom; a periphery; an inner space defined between the top and the bottom to receive the ratchet mechanism of the power tool; a mouth (662) defined in the front end and communicating with the inner space to form two side walls between the top and the bottom and extending from the rear end toward the front end; an opening (664) defined in the bottom and communicating with the inner space for the stub of the ratchet mechanism extending out from the opening; and a connecting

Application/Control Number: 10/809,487

Art Unit: 3723

portion connected to the rear end of the head portion for being attached to the handle of the power ratchet tool (see page 1, lines 9-24 & fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Tezuka (JP 2002056103).

With respect to claim 2, AAPA fails to disclose wherein the mouth has a length smaller than half of the length of the periphery of the head portion.

Tezuka discloses a ratchet wrench wherein the mouth (26) has a length smaller than half of the length of the periphery of the head portion (see figs.1,2).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tool of AAPA with a mouth that has a length smaller than half of the length of the periphery of the head portion in view of Tezuka so as to ensure the periphery of the head portion structure can bear a large torque and can not easily deformed while the ratchet is in operation.

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sung, Izumisawa, Hanson, Chern, Hung, Morgan, Doman disclose ratchet wrench respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Ojini whose telephone number is 571 272 4492. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 to 4 Tuesday-Friday with every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571 272 4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Appri

AO 6/28/05